



Minutes of the 1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project

DELIVERABLE D8.4 Dissemination type: Other

Author: Mary Westermark, SKB

Reporting period: 01/06/17 - 30/11/18

Date of issue: 06/07/2018

Start date of project: 01/06/2017

Duration: 48 Months

This proj	ect receives funding from the Euratom research and training programme 20	014-2018
-	under grant agreement No 745 942	
	Dissemination Level	
PU	Public	





REVIEW

Name	Internal/Project/ External	Comments
All Beacon Partners	Project internal	Distributed to the Parties according to the Beacon Quality Management Plan prior to submission to the Funding Authority in accordance with the Beacon Consortium Agreement, section 4.2 14/08/2018: Correction regarding BGRs presentation, point 11. Test case 1a01 instead of 1c
EARB	Project internal	

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Name	Number of copies	Comments
Athanasios Petridis (EC) Christophe Davies (EC)	Digital distribution	
Beacon partners EARB Participants at the 1 st Beacon Annual Meeting		





Minutes of the 1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project

29-31 May 2018 at Milos Conference Centre in Adamas, Milos, Greece. <u>http://www.miloscenter.gr/</u>

Participants: see separate list. Tuesday 14 people could not land on Milos due to wind conditions. They had to take the ferry and joined the group for dinner on Tuesday evening.

All Presentations are available on the Beacon Projectplace and on <u>http://www.beacon-h2020.eu/events/2018/05/beacon-1st-annual-meeting-milos/</u>

The Wednesday and Thursday sessions constitute the Beacon Milestone #6, Interaction seminar between experimentalists and modellers.

Tuesday 29/5

- 1. Registration coffee and gathering, 09.30-10.30
- 2. Welcome and intro, 10.30
- 3. European Commission

Project Officer Christophe Davies gave an update on the Euratom programme and current EU issues. The presentation is available via above link in the "Tuesday" folder.

4. Management, coordination and communication

Primary Coordinator Contact at the Coordinator SKB, Mary Westermark, gave a short update regarding organisational and administrative issues.

Suggested procedures for the economic reporting are a part of the document "Beacon Quality management plan and manual" and were distributed 23 May 2018, before the 1st Annual meeting and General Assembly, which facilitated the agreement of these procedures at the meeting. The presentation is available via above link in the "Tuesday" folder.

5. Work package 1

Olivier Leupin, Nagra, presented work done and planned work in WP1.

D1.1 State of the Art report was submitted in January based on a questionnaire filled out by Nagra, SKB, Posiva, Surao, Enresa, Andra, GRS, and MKG. The questions raised by the EARB are described under their point, section 7. The presentation is available via above link in the "Tuesday" folder.

6. Work package 2

Simon Norris, RWM, presented work done and planned work in WP2. This was planned to be a joint presentation with Kate Thatcher from Quintessa, but Kate was one of the participants that had to take the ferry. *D2.2 Report on identification of relevant data/models, improvement of understanding of main processes associated to bentonite component evolution taking into account possible heterogeneities* was submitted in November. It will be updated during the project, and reissued in an updated version at the end of the project. It will also be published as an RWM report.

It was suggested that "a real" database would be useful and that it would be good if it could have a life after the project. Can this be arranged? To begin with the excel-file will be made available for

Beacon D8.4 – Minutes of the 1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project Dissemination level: PU Date of issue: **06/07/2018**





download on the Beacon webpage.

The presentation is available via above link in the "Tuesday" folder.

7. Expert Advisory and Review Board (EARB)

Wilfried Pfingsten from the Beacon Expert Advisory and Review Board presented their review of the first years deliverables, as well as some input on the project and their role.

The EARB requests feedback on the input they presented in their first deliverable.

All partners are asked to take part of EARBs first deliverable D8.13. All partners directly affected by that deliverable should give their feedback. Send it to Mary and she will assemble. There will be a reminder of this after the summer holidays.

D2.2 will be continuously updated during the project and the feedback in D8.13 regarding D2.2 will be considered and responded to in this process

The authors of D1.1 will send a written response to the EARB regarding the feedback concerning D1.1. It will also be considered and responded to in the final deliverable of WP1, the deliverable D1.3.

There is a need for an agreed procedure for the feedback to the deliverables from the EARB, including the response from the responsible for each deliverable and it should preferably take place before the submitting of the deliverable.

A solution to this will be suggested to the Beacon Consortium and the EARB before the end of 2018.

The EARB expressed the view that there might be a need for a list of definitions where it is described how some of the words used in the project have different meanings for different partners.

Mary will make such a list in the Beacon Quality Management plan and Manual, and ask for input to it from the partners.

The presentation is available via above link in the "Tuesday" folder.

8. Work package 6

Presentations by Johan Swahn and Roland Pusch are available via above link in the "Tuesday" folder The version of D6.1 available at the meeting is to be considered a draft. It will be adjusted and completed and a new final version issued.

During the afternoon the group made a visit to Imerys Bentonite plant.

Wednesday 30/5

The Wednesday and Thursday sessions constitute the Beacon Milestone #6, Interaction seminar between experimentalists and modellers.

WP3 & WP5: Status in the WPs, work done and planned work with focus on experienced difficulties, discussions. Presentations are available on Beacon Projectplace via the above link, in the Wednesday folder, and on the Beacon web.

9. Introduction/summary of the current status of WP3 (Antonio Gens) Summary of constitutive laws developed and used by different teams in single element cases. Summary of performance table. Future proposal of a similar set of single element cases but now with

Beacon D8.4 – Minutes of the 1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project Dissemination level: PU Date of issue: **06/07/2018**





fixed testing and initial conditions (water content, dry density, stress) and the same material for all teams. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

10. Introduction, and summary of the current status of WP5 (Jean Talandier)

The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

The teams have been asked to focus on the difficulties encountered for the presentations made at this meeting. Three tests have been selected to work with. They were chosen from the cases assembled in WP2 based on the following. They were:

- \cdot rather simple
- \cdot both cases with pellets and blocks
- well known material, only MW80
- · well instrumented
- and the groups that performed the experiments are participating in the project since close cooperation between experimentalists and modellers is a great advantage.

The second test performed with 32 mm pellets at CEA and the third test, 1c, was performed at Posiva. Both Fabien Bernachy Barbe who performed the test at CEA, and Lasse Lavikainen, Posiva, were at the meeting and were asked to tell us a bit more about these tests during the Thursday. There was a discussion around which dismantled large-scale in situ tests will be chosen to model in the second part of the project. They should have been subjected to careful and well documented

dismantling. Experiments EB, Febex and CRT are the highly likely candidates.

There will be a WP3&5 meeting in Paris in 29 and 30 January 2019.

There compilation of results for D5.1.2 will be in March 2019 and D5.1.2 is planned to be submitted according to plan in May.

11. BGR , Vinay Kumar

Current state of work WP5 model test case 1a01. A linear swelling model is implemented and used in the benchmark analyses. The volume change is simulated using a time-dependent deformation boundary. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

12. Clay Technology, Daniel Malmberg.

Test 1a. Hysteresis based material model framework. Although, in the report, the model is extended to unsaturated states, in the applications only saturated conditions have been considered so far. CT have had problems with glitches in the software but have been working with the company COMSOL to develop the software. Planning to introduce friction in the future. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

13. CU/CTU, David Masin.

THM hypoplastic constituitive model. Test 1a01 and 1a02 were simulated. Overprediction generally. Calibration procedures were discussed. Model calibration is ongoing using MX-80 element tests. For numerical analyses staggered vs. fully coupled approaches discussed. There was a discussion on how to make compatible different orders of integration of transport and mechanical problems. Numeral issues were experienced when running the fully coupled approach, they are being resolved. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

14. EPFL (were unfortunately not represented at the meeting, the presentation was made by Antonio *Gens*)

1a01 and 02 have been modelled. They have managed to find a very low density. The peak in the beginning was overpredicted. To add hysteresis would be interesting and desired. Friction will be discussed Thursday. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.





15. GRS/KIT, Klaus Wiezorek

Code Viper. Description of the conceptual model addressing the hydraulic problem. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

16. ICL, Lidija Zdravkovic

Double-structure constative model (IC DSM). Tests 1a01 and 1a02 analysed using ICFEP. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

17. LEI, Asta Narkuniene and Darius Justinavicius

Analysis of Test 1a01 using COMSOL and CODE_BRIGHT (learnt in the Training course), to compare. A single porosity model. Does not start from 0. Probably related to boundary conditions. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

18. Quintessa, Rebecca Newson

Internal Limit Model (ILM) used based on Modified Cam clay. Tests 1a01 and 1a02 analyzed. General behavior reproduced. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

19. ULg, Liliana Gramegna.

Tests 1a01 and 1a02 modelled. A double porosity model. Use of the BBM (single structure) as constitutive model. Interfaces modeled using a dedicated element. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

20. UPC, Antonio Gens

Use of BExM (double structure). Tests 1a01 and 1a02 analyzed. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

21. VTT, Heidar Gharbieh

THMc model framework for swelling clays (VTT-UCLM model). Implemented in COMSOL. Rest 1a02 analysis. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

22. Andra, Benjamin Darde

Powder and pellets. Analyzes a single pellet during swelling (suction decrease), experimentally and numerically using a hydromechanical analysis. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

23. SKB, Shinya Tachibana.

Cam-clay based model (including plastic swelling though). Test 1a02 analyzed under saturated conditions. Initial suction derived from swelling pressure. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

24. Specific issues for WP3/WP5 (Antonio Gens, Jean Talandier and all)

Suggestions for further work: relate the desired behaviour outcome to constitutive model features. At least two materials, MX80 and Febex. The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

25. Synthesis of the results (Jean Talandier)

The most important question is why the results are so different, and very dispersive, also from the measured. A comment to this by Kate was: 9 modellers have reached different results. If 9 experimentalists did the same experiment, how different would the results be? Hysteresis should be taken into account. The role of friction in small tests can be an issue. Uncertainties on measurements are sometimes difficult to identify and should be considered, initial gaps and sensor surfaces. The velocity needs to be predicted. SKBs and Posivas results are quite similar.

Beacon

D8.4 – Minutes of the 1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project Dissemination level: PU Date of issue: **06/07/2018**





Generally no more work should be done with this first round of test cases. Those that want to should move on, others may do some more work on this. Look at how much time you have spent and how much you have left in the project. The most difficult was the void.

Common initial conditions are requested for the next task.

TASK 5.2 Decision on selection of large scale experiments to model

It is decided that all three below experiments can be chosen, generally two of them should be chosen by each team.

- EB (problem that hydration is not even and complex)
- Febex
- CRT (seemed simpler, showed to be less simple. Ola K has modelled it. There was movement of the capsule. The final state was more or less saturated. Good example of mechanical evolution between heater and rock)

In Decovalex both EB and Febex are modelled The presentation is available via above link in the "Wednesday" folder.

Thursday 31/5

Interaction seminar between experimentalists and modellers, Milestone #6 (continuation).

WP4: Status in the WP, work done, planned work and discussions.

26. Introduction and agenda - WP4 tasks, (Klaus Wieczorek) Overview. List of planned experiments. Deliverables in months 27, 45 and 47. The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

Task 4.1 - Homogenisation of an initially inhomogeneous bentonite system

27. CEA, Fabien Bernachy Barbe

About the testcase. The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

28. CIEMAT, Maria Victoria Villar

The tests corresponds well with two natural environment cases. The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

29. CTU, Jiri Svoboda

Option 2 corresponds quite well to natural environments, last option doesn't correspond very much. The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

30. CU, David Masin

Will do the modelling for the CTU experiments as planned. The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

31. KIT, Franz

The cell is sealed up to 2MPa but changes will be made to make it tighter. KIT are also planning to switch to other pellets. The presentation and the films shown are available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

Beacon

D8.4 – Minutes of the 1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project Dissemination level: PU Date of issue: **06/07/2018**





Task 4.2 - Persistence or development of inhomogeneities

32. BGS, presented by Patrik Sellin

The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

33. JYU, presented by Lasse Lavikainen

All experiments are reproduced 3 times to get some kind of statistics. The material used is similar to the MX80 used originally. Constant temperature and room temperature. The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

EPFL no presentation

34. Task 4.3 Natural analogues – SKB, Patrik Sellin

The main objective is to investigate creep. It has not been possible to get hold of any cores for the purpose yet. Cores available at CIEMAT (drilled 11 meters) could be very interesting. The presentation is available via above link in the "Thursday" folder.

35. Specific issues and general discussion WP4

a) Friction issue – Posiva pellet/block test (Lasse Lavikainen)

Tests are more like pilot tests but could be used in the safety case. The exact and final reproducibility is unknown. Friction angle is not taken into account. Presumably most of the friction is coming from the blocks. Special method to measure dry density. Discussion: EPFL had some plans for friction tests but we have no news. ULg has an idea of measuring friction. Tests have been done at Clay. Minor differences with lubrication. Friction tests done should be collected.

- *b) Experiment list* Will be sent out next week.
- c) Next meetings, input from / interaction with WP3/5 and deliverables It is more important to have WP4 representation at WP3 and 5 meeting than to have WP4meetings so the WP4-group will assemble in conjunction with WP3/5 meeting in January.

36. General summary and conclusions

The project progresses well and as planned. Modelling groups have done more work and progress than expected. Almost all partners were able to attend this annual meeting, only last minute cancellations. The training course in January was almost too successful. An example is LEI and Darius that just by attending the course could start modelling.

37. Nextcoming annual meetings

Annual meetings no 2 in Prague (21-22 May 2019) and no 3 in Liege (13-14 May 2020) will be open for external participation but it will not be extensively advertised. And of course all Beacon partners are expected to participate, to share their experiences and results, and to take part of the other partners ditto.

The 4th Annual meeting and final workshop/conference will be in London in 14-15 April 2021 with a preparatory WP6 meeting 13th April.

Participants are urged to take as "homework" to think about who could and should be interested in attending at the final workshop in London. It will be preceded by a call for abstracts. There will be a poster session, and a session for short oral poster introductions.

Hereby the Beacon first annual meeting is closed, approximately 13.00. The meeting ended with lunch.

Beacon D8.4 – Minutes of the 1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project Dissemination level: PU Date of issue: **06/07/2018**





Action list

No	Action point	Responsible	Deadline
1	Excel "database" from WP2 to Projectplace end	Mary	31 August
	Beacon Web		2018
2	Take part of EARBs first deliverable D8.13.	All partners	30 September
	All partners directly affected by that deliverable (in	Simon, Kate,	2018
	particular responsible for D2.2 and D1.1) should give	Olivier respectively	
	their feedback. Send it to Mary/coordinator and she		
	will assemble.		
3	Coordinator will respond to EARB Deliverable D8.13	Mary	31 October
	during the autumn.		2018
4	Decision list at Projectplace	Mary	Done
5	Procedure for feedback and handling of EARB reviews	Coordinator	October 2018
	of the deliverables		
6	Distribute list of experiments	Klaus W	Done



This project receives funding from the Euratom research and fraining programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 745942



Beacon 1st Annual Meeting, 29-31 May 2018, Milos

Kolu First name Kolu First name A 2 Irena A 2 Irena A 4 Franz A 4 Franz A 7 Rebecca B Vinay B Vinay B 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Beacon 1st Annual Meeting, 29-31 May 2010, Milus Vali First same last name Ord Signature	Last manue	ry Westermark SKB	Hanusová SÚRAO						nay Kumar BGR	9 María Victoria Villar CIEMAT	Colline Skill
	t Annual		Weste	Hanus	Hausn	Rinder	Talano	Norris	News	Kuma	toria Villar	cillio Collice

∉ 25 Tomáš	Koudelka	Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Tech
26 Timo	Riikilä	University of Jyväskylä (Personal reserve)
X 27 Robert	Charlier	University of Liege
28 Darius	Justinavicius	Lithuanian Energy Institute
29 Asta	Narkuniene	Lithuanian Energy Institute
30 Wilfried	Pfingsten	Paul Scherrer Institut
🗙 31 Kate	Thatcher	Quintessa Ltd KThrathere
32 Lidija	Zdravkovic	Imperial College London ZTLA/Rev/Lev/Lev/Lev/Lev/Lev/Lev/Lev/Lev/Lev/L
33 David	Potts	Imperial College London
34 Roland	Pusch	WP6
¥35 Antonio	Gens	UNIVERSITAT POLITACNICA DE CATA
36 liliana	gramegna	université di Liege
K37 Yves	MARIGNAC	WP6/WISE-Paris
38 Jiri	Svoboda	CTU

11 radia Mokni RSN Mokni 11 radia Mokni RSN Mok 12 Villa Koskinen STUK W 13 Johan Swahn MKG M 13 Johan Swahn MKG M 14 Christine Anveglad MKG M 14 Christine Zelaznik WP6 M 14 Christine Zelaznik WP6 M 14 Christine Zelaznik WP6 M 15 Nedja Zelaznik WP6 M 16 Kobor dr. Jozsef Creen Circle of Pless - University of Pless M 17 Wieczonek Kaus GRS Creen Circle of Pless M 17 Wieczonek Kaus GRS Creen Circle of Pless M 18 Kaus-Peter Kröhn CRS Creen Circle of Pless M 19 Benjamin Darde Andra M M 20 Heidar Charbieh VTT Technical Research Centre of Finla M	22 Mattias Åkesson Clay Technology AB	Malmberg	Biodininovi Biodin
--	---------------------------------------	----------	--

			Г
X 39 Frédéric	Bernier	FANC	
40 Klaus-Jürgen Röhlig	Röhlig	TU Clausthal W. W. H.	
41 Jacques	WENDLING	Andra	
42 Shinya	Tachibana	Kobe University Stackic bave.	
X 43 Lasse	Lavikainen	Posiva Oy Mrie Landian	
44 Christophe	Davies	EC (Janta	
45 Olivier	Leupin	Nagra Wulpur	
¥46 David	Masin	Charles University	-
47 Rémi	DE LA VAISSIERE	ANDRA	
48 Fabien	Bernachy	CEA P P	
49. JINSONG	Liu	SSM &	
		7	



This project receives funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 745942



1st Annual Meeting of the Beacon project

29-31 May 2018 at Milos Conference Centre in Adamas, Milos, Greece. http://www.miloscenter.gr/

Preliminary agenda

Tuesday 29/5			
10.00-10.30	Registration coffee and gathering		
10.30-10.35	Welcome and intro		
10.35-11.05	EU issues and the Euratom programme (Christophe Davies)		
11.05-11.25	WP7 and WP8: Organisational and administrative issues		
11.25-11.45	WP1: work done, planned work. Discussion.		
11.45-12.00	Coffee break		
12.00-12.30	WP2: work done, planned work. Discussion.		
12.30-13.10	Beacon Expert Advisory and Review Board: presentation of review of the first years deliverables, and discussion.		
13.10-13.30	WP6: work done, planned work. Discussions.		
13.30-13.55	Lunch (planned to be at least partly take away)		
13.55-18	Visit Imerys Bentonite plant (inc transport)		
	Discourse has she down to be supplied as the Destance of Neurophic		

Tuesday Evening – Dinner hosted by the project, at Restaurant Navagio

Wednesday 30/5 All day

Interaction seminar between experimentalists and modellers WP3 & WP5: Status in the WPs, work done, planned work. Discussions

9.00-9.30	Introduction/summary of the current status of WP3 and WP5 (Antonio Gens/Jean Talandier)
9.30-9.50	BGR
9.50-10.10	Clay Technology
10.10-10.30	CU/CTU
10.30-10.50	EPFL (presented by Gens/Talandier)
10.50-11.15	Coffee break
11.15-11.35	GRS/KIT
11.35-11.55	ICL
11.55-12.15	LEI
12.15-12.35	Quintessa
12.35-12.55	General discussion
12.55-13.50	Lunch
13.50-14.10	ULg



This project receives funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 745942



14.10-14.30	UPC
14.30-14.50	VTT
14.50-15.10	Andra
15.10-15.30	SKB /Tachibana
15.30-15.55	Coffee break
15.55-17.00	Synthesis of the results (Jean Talandier) General discussion/Specific issues for WP3/WP5 (Antonio Gens, Jean Talandier and all)
17.00-17.30	General matters, next meeting and deliverables
	Executive board meeting

Thursday 31/5

Interaction seminar between experimentalists and modellers WP4: Status in the WP, work done, planned work. Discussions

8.30-8.40	Introduction - WP4 tasks, agenda (Klaus Wieczorek)
08.40-10.00	<i>Task 4.1 - Homogenisation of an initially inhomogeneous bentonite system</i> 15 min/pres
08.40-08.55	CEA
08.55-09.10	CIEMAT
09.10-09.25	СТО
09.25-09.40	CU
09.40-09.55	KIT
09.55-10.15	Break
10.15-11.00	Task 4.2 - Persistence or development of inhomogeneities 15 min/pres
10.15-10.30	BGS
10.30-10.45	JYU
10.45-11.00	EPFL
11.00-11.05	Presentation of Task 4.3 (Natural analogues) – SKB
11.05-11.30	Coffee Break
11.30-12.15	 Specific issues and general discussion WP4 Friction issue – short presentation of Posiva pellet/block test (Lasse Lavikainen) Experiment list and input from / interaction with WP3/5 Next meetings and deliverables Conclusions
General	
12.15-13.15	Summary and conclusions Potentially following decisions in General Assembly
13.15	Closing of first annual meeting
13.15-	Meeting ends with Lunch